UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,744	04/16/2004	John Harper	P3356US1 (119-0036US)	1362
WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH, RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI LLP 20333 Tomball Parkway			EXAMINER	
			MCDOWELL, JR, MAURICE L	
SUITE 600 HOUSTON, TX 77070			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

WCPATENTS@COUNSELIP.COM kgonzalez@counselip.com cmiles@counselip.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/826,744	HARPER, JOHN		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MAURICE MCDOWELL, JR	2628		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	<u>owed</u>		
(1) MAURICE MCDOWELL, JR.	(3)			
(2) <u>William Hubbard</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>13 September 2010</u>	Time: <u>3:35pm</u>			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  The examiner asked applicant if it was necessary for the allowance to print pages 47-128 of instant specification. The applicant said it was not necessary to print those pages since they were already part of the file wrapper.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
/XIAO M. WU/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2628				
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		